

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
02/06/2004	Dennis G. Demers	H0002545D1-1140	6808	
11/18/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
		CASAREGOI	CASAREGOLA, LOUIS J	
rtment	·			
		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
South Bend, IN 46628		3746		
	11/18/2004 rtment	11/18/2004	11/18/2004 EXAM CASAREGOI rtment ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1. Λ. Λ		
Office Action Summers	10/773,359	DEMERS, DENNIS	S G.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	10-0-1		
	Louis J. Casaregola	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,11-13,15-19,21,23,27 is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-10,14,20,22,24-26,28 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina	awn from consideration. wed. ed to. or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF			
	Adminor. Note the didented Smoo	, totion of form .	0 102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	0 🗆	(DTO 442)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	atent Application (PTC	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/773,359

Art Unit: 3746

Objections To Claims

Claims 4-10, 14, 20, 22, 24-26, and 28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(a) as including the following errors:

In claim 4, reference to "the FADEC system" lacks antecedent basis since claim 4 depends from claim 1 but the FADEC is first introduced in claim 2. The dependence of claim 4 should therefore be changed from claim 1 to claim 2.

In claim 5, along with related dependent claims 6-10, reference to "the FADEC" also lacks antecedent basis, and the dependence of claim 5 should likewise be changed from claim 1 to claim 2.

In claim 14, additional reference to "the FADEC system" lacks antecedent basis. Claim 14's chain of dependence extends through claims 13, 12, and 11 to claim 1, and hence, the error in claim 14 should be corrected by changing the dependency of claim 11 from claim 1 to claim 2.

In claim 20, reference to "said FADEC" also lacks antecedent basis. Claim 20's chain of dependence extends through claim 19 to claim 1, and hence, the error in claim 20 should be corrected by changing the dependency of claim 19 from claim 1 to claim 2.

In claim 22, reference to "said solenoid valve" additionally lacks antecedent basis. The solenoid valve is first introduced in claim 19 but 22's chain of dependence extends through claim 21 to claim 1. The error in claim 22 should thus be corrected by changing the dependency of claim 21 from claim 1 to claim 19.

In claim 24, reference to "said MFC" is unduly vague and also lacks antecedent basis. The cited language should be replaced with "a main fuel control (MFC)".

In claim 25, "at least one electrical connector" refers to one of the connectors in claim 24, hence, the dependence of claim 25 should be changed from claim 1 to claim 24. Furthermore, reference to "said manual beeper motor" lacks antecedent basis and should be replaced with "a manual beeper motor".

In claim 26, "at least one electrical connector" also refers to one of the connectors in claim 24 and the dependence of claim 26 should additionally be changed from claim 1 to claim 24. Reference to "said primary mode stepper motor" also lacks antecedent basis and should be replaced with "a primary mode stepper motor".

In claim 28, reference to "said device" is unduly vague and lacks antecedent basis. The cited expression should be replaced with more appropriate language.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 11-13, 15-19, 21, 23, and 27 are allowed and claims 4-10, 14, 20, 22, 24-26, and 28 will be allowed if amended to overcome the objections set forth above.

Reasons For Allowance

The present invention is a fuel control method involving transfer between primary

and backup control modes using a clutch. As indicated by the references on the

attached Form PTO-892, fuel controls including primary and backup modes are known

in the art and sometimes include clutches. None of the references of record however

show or fairly suggest the claimed method comprising fuel flow to a common cavity,

pressure relief, fuel metering, and fuel bypass steps, in combination with two mode

control employing an electrical clutch for selecting metering valve operation in either a

primary or backup mode, and wherein transfer to the backup mode is bumpless and

automatic. The present invention is therefore considered patentable over the prior art.

L. J. Casaregola

703-308-1027 (M-F; 7:30-4:00)

703-872-9306 FAX

November 16, 2004

L. & Carrigela

Page 4

If repeated attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached at 703-306-2772.

Information regarding the status of this application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, and status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).